

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMESSIONER OF PATENTS AND CLAYEM VOL. Washington DOC 20231 www.ispr.com

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION NO
10/047,072	01/15/2002	Ralph M. Steinman	MER-011CN 112917-144	7452
23483 7:	590 04/02/2003			
HALE AND DORR, LLP			EXAMINER	
60 STATE STREET			EWOLDT, GERALD R	
BOSTON, MA	02109			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1644	J
			DATE MAILED: 04/02/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

Applicant(s)

10/047,072

Sreinman et al.

Examiner

G.R. Ewoldt

Art Unit 1644



	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address				
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.					
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In mailing date of this communication. 	i no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the				
 If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply 					
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause	the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
 Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any				
Status					
1) \square Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{10/15/02}$? and 1/15/02 .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This ac	tion is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) X Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 10-12</u>	is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5)	is/are allowed.				
6) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 10-12</u>	is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.				
8) Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) \square The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed onis/are	e a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) \square All b) \square Some* c) \square None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority dapplication from the International Bure	ocuments have been received in this National Stage				
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of th	e certified copies not received.				
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).				
a) \square The translation of the foreign language provisions	al application has been received.				
15) 💢 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.				
Attachment(s)					
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					

Serial No. 10/047,072 Art Unit 1644 Applicant is invited to clarify this issue, however, for art purposes, the claims will be considered to comprise a method of culturing any DC precursor, or monocyte (Claim 4) with GM-CSF, or GM-CSF and IL-4 (Claims 6 and 7). The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112: The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention. Claims 1-6 and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Specifically: A) The term "pluripotential cells" is vague and indefinite as the term is not defined in the specification and just one example of "pluripotential cells" (PBMCs) are disclosed. unclear whether the terms are meant to be interchangeable or whether other types of "pluripotential cells" are encompassed by the claims. B) The phrase "express characteristics of DCs" is vague and indefinite as said "characteristics" are not defined in the specification. It is noted that some "properties of mature DCs" are disclosed at pages 55-56 of the specification but it is unclear whether or not these comprise the "characteristics" of the claims. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action: A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States. (e) the invention was described in-(1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

Serial No. 10/047,072 Art Unit 1644 Claims 1-6 and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,994,126. The '126 patent teaches an in vitro method of producing DCs comprising culturing pluripotential cells comprising monocytes (which are inherently CD14*) or mononuclear cells (both components of PBMCs) in about 200U/ml to about 2000U/ml of a "factor" comprising GM-CSF and additionally IL-4 (see particularly column 16, lines 43-45 and Example 1). Note that Claims 11 and 12 merely recite well known properties inherent to DCs, i.e., high level expression of MHC molecules and the capacity to stimulate resting T cells. The reference clearly anticipates the claimed invention. Claims 1-6 and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Romani et al. (1994). Romani et al. teaches an in vitro method of producing DCs comprising culturing pluripotential cells comprising monocytes (which are inherently CD14*) or mononuclear cells (both components of PBMCs) in about 200U/ml to about 2000U/ml of a "factor" comprising GM-CSF and additionally IL-4 (see particularly page 84, Materials and Methods). Note that Claims 11 and 12 merely recite well known properties inherent to DCs, i.e., high level expression of MHC molecules and the capacity to stimulate resting T cells. The reference clearly anticipates the claimed invention. 10. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112: The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention. Claims 1-6 and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. There is insufficient written description to show that Applicant was in possession of a <u>factor</u> in which to culture pluripotential cells which would cause them to express

Serial No. 10/047,072 Art Unit 1644

characteristics of DCs. The specification discloses that "The mature dendritic cells are prepared according to this invention by contacting the immature dendritic cells with a dendritic cell maturation factor. As referred to herein, the dendritic cell maturation factor may actually be one or more specific substances which act in concert to cause the maturation of the immature dendritic cells. Such a factor has been determined to be present in PBMC conditioned medium, preferrably [sic] monocyte conditioned medium." Thus, while the specification discloses a "dendritic cell maturation factor", this passage makes clear that Applicant had no idea what "dendritic cell maturation factor" actually consisted of, but rather Applicant knew only a method/source for obtaining said "factor". Regarding the more generic "factor" of the instant claims, the specification is One of skill in the art must therefore conclude then that the specification fails to disclose a representative number of species to describe the claimed genus. See Eli Lilly, 119 F.3d 1559, 43 USPQ2d 1398.

12. No claim is allowed.

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Gerald Ewoldt whose telephone number is (703) 308-9805. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 7:00 am to 5:00 pm. A message may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on (703) 308-3973. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center 1600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technology Center 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1 at (703) 305-3014. The CM1 Fax Center telephone numbers are 703-872-9306 (before final) and 703-872-9307 (after final).

G.R. Ewoldt, Ph.D. Primary Examiner

Technology Center 1600

March 31, 2003